





Machine Learning Analysis of PROSPECT Data

Blaine Heffron

On behalf of the PROSPECT collaboration

ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for the US Department of Energy



PROSPECT Overview

PROSPECT took data at **ORNL's High Flux Isotope** Reactor from 2018-2019. It is a highly enriched uranium reactor with a compact core







14 x 11 array of 6Li doped liquid scintillator for detecting inverse beta decay from reactor antineutrinos (6m from compact highly enriched uranium reactor core)



Machine Learning Activities

- Particle identification using sparse convolutional neural networks
- Single ended event reconstruction •
- Antineutrino energy and position reconstruction with deep • networks



Convolutional neural networks with PROSPECT data

Convolutions are nxn windows consisting of trainable weights that move over the 14x11 segments of the detector

Each weight is multiplied with the physics quantities at each segment and summed together to form a transformed output

The final stage consists of "fully connected" layers used to transform the outputs into a classifier

2 models - one with calibrated physics quantities (energy, timing, position, pulse shape), one with full waveform



CNNs for Positron Identification - Test dataset (simulation)



CAK RIDGE

CNNs for Positron ID results (simulated data)



Waveform model

Calibrated Physics Quantities model



CAK RIDGE

CNNs for single ended Z position reconstruction

- Dataset is gamma, electron, and positron simulations (0-9 MeV, randomly distributed throughout detector volume)
- Neural network is only trained on Single Ended segment predictions
- Network consists of series of pointwise (kernel size = 1) and 3x3 convolutions with padding set to 1 to obtain z predictions for each cell
- Train weights by minimizing the single ended z position error based on simulation true z position

💥 Oak Ridge

Healthy								Monocular [Blind
140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	
126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	
112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	
98	99	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	
84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	
70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	
56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	
42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	
28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
				1		199 - 1993 V								

PRD Data - 97:47:10

PhysRevD 103 (2021) 032001

Z position reconstruction results



CAK RIDGE

Simulated IBD Energy Reconstruction (work in progress)



- R-squared scores show an outperformance of fully connected neural net over traditional maximum likelihood estimate with large gap in performance for 'non-ideal' detector (except very low energies)
- Thin band artifact in middle bottom plot is not correlated with energy spectrum (shows up in both training with uniform or reactor spectrum) -likely related to dead neurons associated with poor events.

John Koblanski UH Manoa

Summary

- Neural network is able to distinguish between positron and gamma events; work is ongoing to apply this to current IBD selection
- There is some evidence that we can extract more information from the detector pulses for the purposes of particle identification and single ended position reconstruction
- Preliminary results from IBD energy reconstruction using neural networks is promising
- Work is ongoing to apply the models to real data



Thanks!

https://prospect.yale.edu/





Drexe

Yale